## 1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 RAHSAAN COLEMAN, No. C-12-6291 EMC (pr) 9 Plaintiff, 10 ORDER REVOKING PLAINTIFF'S IN v. FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS 11 DIANA BECTON BROWN, Municipal Court Judge In and For Contra Costa County of the 12 State of California; et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 The Court dismissed this action and entered judgment on April 19, 2013, finding the action 16 to be barred by the rule from *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477 (1994). Plaintiff then filed a notice of appeal. The Ninth Circuit has referred the matter to this Court to determine whether in forma 17 18 pauperis status should continue for Plaintiff on appeal or whether the appeal is frivolous or taken in 19 bad faith. Upon review of Plaintiff's filings and the Court's orders, the Court now determines that 20 the appeal is frivolous and revokes Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status. 21 In his notice of appeal, Plaintiff requested that the Court issue a certificate of appealability. 22 The request is **DENIED** because certificates of appealability do not issue in civil rights actions. See 23 generally 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) (describing procedure for certificate of appealability in habeas 24 action). 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 Dated: May 13, 2013

27

28

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge